Cross-Cloud API Management with Azure API Gateway and MuleSoft Integration

Keng-Ming Chang Software Engineer, Google, California, USA **Corresponding Author Email ID:** Email

Received: 15/10/2023

Accepted: 08/11/2023

Published: 31/12/2023

Abstract

This paper presents a cross-cloud API management architecture using Azure API Management (APIM) integrated with MuleSoft Anypoint Platform. APIs were developed in .NET and Node.js, exposed through Azure, and proxied to legacy systems via MuleSoft. The architecture allowed unified access control, caching strategies, and performance monitoring across multi-cloud services. Performance benchmarks revealed that the hybrid model reduced API response times by 22% and improved system reliability by minimizing redundant calls. Furthermore, centralized observability and authentication helped standardize access control policies across cloud and on-premises infrastructure. This framework enables enterprises to modernize frontend API layers while maintaining deep connectivity with backend enterprise systems and monolithic applications.

Keywords: API Management, Azure APIM, MuleSoft, Cross-Cloud Integration, Hybrid Cloud, Legacy Systems, Microservices, API Gateway, Response Time Optimization, Enterprise Modernization

Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, (2023);

DOI: 10.31069/japsr.v6i4.07

Introduction

As cloud computing matures, organizations increasingly rely on multi-cloud and hybrid cloud strategies to maximize uptime, avoid vendor lock-in, and meet regional compliance mandates. However, with this architectural evolution comes a heightened complexity in managing APIs distributed across different infrastructure zones. API gateways, traditionally designed to function within a single cloud provider, now struggle to operate efficiently across diverse environments including SaaS, on-premises data centers, and containerized microservices.

Azure API Management (APIM) offers a robust solution for managing APIs within Microsoft's cloud ecosystem, providing traffic mediation, rate limiting, security policies, and versioning. Meanwhile, MuleSoft Anypoint Platform is well recognized for its legacy integration capabilities, facilitating communication with systems such as SAP, Oracle databases, and mainframes. When integrated, these two platforms enable organizations to achieve both modernization and backward compatibility.

This paper explores the implementation of a cross-cloud API management framework that leverages the strengths of Azure APIM and MuleSoft to orchestrate frontend and backend workflows. Through an empirical evaluation involving latency benchmarks, CPU usage metrics, and policy audits, this research provides a comprehensive view of how such an architecture impacts operational performance, reliability, and governance in real-world enterprise deployments.

Literature Review

The domain of API management in hybrid cloud scenarios has received growing academic attention. Nguyen et al. (2020) argue that security and scalability must be considered together when managing APIs across multicloud deployments. Their work also identifies significant gaps in seamless policy enforcement when APIs span cloud and on-prem domains. Similarly, Ramesh and Chopra (2021) highlight policy synchronization challenges across platforms such as Azure and MuleSoft.

Several studies have investigated performance metrics for cloud API gateways. Bhandari et al. (2021) compared Amazon API Gateway and Azure APIM, concluding that Azure performs better under concurrent transaction loads when integrated with internal services. Cao et al. (2022) focused on MuleSoft's integration strengths, especially its ability to abstract and expose legacy systems as modern RESTful APIs.

Smith and Garcia (2019) noted that organizations pursuing a hybrid approach must carefully architect their traffic routing to avoid introducing unnecessary hops that degrade latency. Miller and Rajan (2022) added that API caching layers can provide substantial performance gains if properly tuned and aligned across cloud environments.

Despite these valuable insights, the academic corpus still lacks comprehensive studies that evaluate the effectiveness of a combined Azure-MuleSoft stack in enterprise-grade deployments. This research addresses this need by deploying and empirically benchmarking such an architecture.

[©] The Author(s). 2023 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

Research Questions

RQ1

Does integrating Azure APIM with MuleSoft reduce API response times and improve performance in cross-cloud environments?

RQ2

What are the best practices for access control, policy consistency, and caching in hybrid API management?

RQ3

How does cross-cloud integration impact system complexity and operational overhead?

RQ4

To what extent does a hybrid API management solution support modernization goals without compromising access to legacy systems?

Methodology

Architecture Overview

To test the feasibility and efficiency of the hybrid model, a simulated enterprise environment was created. This included:

- Web and mobile frontend clients making API calls
- Azure API Management as the primary entry point for requests
- MuleSoft Anypoint Runtime handling orchestration and routing to backend systems
- Backend APIs developed using .NET Core and Node.js
- Legacy systems such as SAP ERP and Microsoft SQL Server Security protocols including OAuth2 and API key



Fig 2: Cross-Cloud API Management Architecture Using Azure and MuleSoft validation were implemented in both Azure and MuleSoft layers. Identity federation was achieved using Azure Active Directory, with fine-grained access tokens passed across systems.

Test Scenarios

The testing framework simulated a variety of user interactions across e-commerce, analytics, and reporting APIs. Specifically:

Direct vs indirect routing

Evaluating latency between Azure-only APIs and those proxied through MuleSoft

Caching policies

Comparing TTL caching, ETag validation, and no caching Load tests with concurrent user simulations (10, 50, 200 threads) over 5-minute intervals

These scenarios reflected the range of traffic patterns seen in high-throughput enterprise systems.

Tools and Metrics

- Load generation using Apache JMeter and Postman Collection Runner
- Azure Application Insights and MuleSoft Monitoring
 Console for logging and tracing
- Metrics captured:
 - 1. Average response time (ms)
 - 2. Error rate (% of failed transactions)
 - 3. CPU and memory usage (Azure and MuleSoft containers)
 - 4. API gateway throughput (requests per second)
 - 5. Cache hit ratio

Results

The hybrid configuration, particularly when caching was enabled, resulted in consistent latency improvements. The most substantial gains were observed in idempotent GET operations and commonly requested product data. CPU usage also declined by an average of 7% with caching in place.

Analysis

RQ1 Analysis

The empirical data confirms that integrating MuleSoft with Azure APIM enhances performance. This is attributed to reduced round-trips to backend systems, efficient caching at the gateway layer, and optimized routing protocols in MuleSoft.

Table 1: API Performance Comparison

Scenario	Avg Response Time (ms)	Error Rate (%)	CPU Usage (%)	Cache Hit Ratio (%)
Azure Only (No Cache)	312	1.1	68	0
Azure + MuleSoft (No Cache)	276	0.9	65	0
Azure + MuleSoft (TTL Cache)	243	0.7	61	73

RQ2 Analysis

TTL-based caching produced the highest efficiency, especially when configured alongside ETag headers for conditional requests. JWT propagation across layers was smoother when policies were enforced at the Azure edge, thereby reducing MuleSoft workload.

RQ3 Analysis

While initial configuration was non-trivial—especially for crossplatform authentication and certificate trust—the runtime operational complexity was manageable. Observability tools streamlined troubleshooting and performance tuning.

RQ4 Analysis

Legacy systems accessed through MuleSoft responded reliably, and protocol translation (SOAP to REST) was achieved with minimal latency overhead. This suggests that modernization goals are not compromised by retaining older systems.

Discussion

The results underscore that a layered API management strategy combining Azure APIM and MuleSoft is not only technically feasible but also operationally beneficial. Enterprises can isolate business logic from transport and orchestration concerns, enforcing consistent policy enforcement and logging across environments. Key takeaways include:

Cache configuration must be tailored to API volatility

- Token introspection across clouds should be synchronized to avoid mismatches
- A shared observability layer simplifies cross-system monitoring

Real-world implementation would benefit from CI/ CD integration to manage API versions and rollback configurations.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that hybrid API management using Azure APIM and MuleSoft Anypoint is an effective strategy for enterprises navigating multi-cloud and legacy integration. With up to 22% reduction in response time and streamlined governance, such architectures can future-proof digital ecosystems while bridging the gap with legacy infrastructure.

Future research could explore integrations with gRPC, event-driven patterns via Azure Event Grid and MuleSoft's AsyncAPI support, and real-time compliance auditing frameworks.

References

6. Bhandari, R., Kumar, M., & Ahmed, T. (2021). Managing APIs in Hybrid Cloud with Azure and MuleSoft. *Journal of Cloud Architecture*, 9(3), 77–94.

- Cao, J., Lin, F., & Yin, X. (2022). Enterprise API Strategy Using MuleSoft: Bridging Cloud and Legacy Systems. *Integration Engineering Journal*, 11(2), 133–150.
- Talluri Durvasulu, M. B. (2022). Exploring the Power of Cloud Storage with Azure and AWS. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 10(2), 59-65. https://ijritcc.org/index. php/ijritcc/article/view/11423
- 9. Nguyen, H., Singh, P., & Rao, R. (2020). Security in API Management Gateways. *Journal of Enterprise Software*, 12(4), 65–84.
- 10. Ramesh, V., & Chopra, S. (2021). Policy Automation in Multi-Cloud Gateways. *Cloud Governance Review*, 7(1), 49–61.
- 11. Munnangi, S. (2020). Securing the Digital Frontier: Pega's Innovations in Cybersecurity and Regulatory Compliance. NeuroQuantology, 18(11), 179-184. https:// doi.org/10.48047/nq.2020.18.11.NQ20249
- 12. Smith, A., & Garcia, L. (2019). Hybrid Cloud Integration Challenges: A Case Study. *International Journal of Systems Architecture*, 15(2), 92–107.
- Kolla, S. (2023). Green Data Practices: Sustainable Approaches to Data Management. International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer and Communication Engineering, 11(11), 11451-11457. https://doi.org/10.15680/ IJIRCCE.2023.1111001
- 14. Lee, H., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Unified Monitoring for API Gateways. *Journal of Software Observability*, 8(3), 110–123.
- 15. Miller, T., & Rajan, A. (2022). Real-Time Caching Strategies in API Gateways. *Performance Engineering Journal*, 10(1), 29–45.
- Chen, D., & Owens, S. (2021). The Role of Azure API Management in Enterprise Integration. *Enterprise Cloud Journal*, 13(1), 22–37.
- Vangavolu, S. V. (2021). Continuous Integration and Deployment Strategies for MEAN Stack Applications. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 9(10), 53-57. https://ijritcc. org/index.php/ijritcc/article/view/11527/8841
- 18. Patel, N., & Singh, A. (2020). Microservices Orchestration with MuleSoft. *Systems Integration Monthly*, 6(4), 87–101.
- 19. Adams, M., & White, K. (2022). Building Cross-Cloud Resilience for APIs. *IEEE Cloud Computing*, 9(2), 39–55.
- 20. Li, F., & Guerrero, B. (2021). API Governance and Legacy Modernization. *Journal of Legacy Systems*, 14(3), 75–89.
- 21. Tian, Y., & Gupta, R. (2020). Hybrid Connectivity Between Azure and On-Prem. *Azure Architecture Digest*, 5(2), 52–69.
- 22. Yin, T., & Rahman, H. (2019). Cross-Platform Observability in Hybrid Architectures. *Cloud Native Monitoring Review*, 4(1), 66–78.
- 23. Wang, J., & Knight, L. (2021). Comparing REST, SOAP, and gRPC in Enterprise Gateways. *API Technology Quarterly*, 7(4), 101–119.
- 24. Almeida, C., & Kumar, D. (2021). Secure Token Exchange Across API Boundaries. *Security Engineering Today*, 6(2), 33–47.

How to cite this article: Chang, KM. Cross-Cloud API Management with Azure API Gateway and MuleSoft Integration. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. 2023; 6(4):39-41 Doi: 10.31069/japsr.v6i4.07